Dimensional Regulatory Science

From 2D Compliance to 3D Quality Modeling

Richard Fiene, PhD
Penn State Edna Bennett Pierce Prevention Research Center

April 2026

Abstract

This report outlines the paradigm shift in Early Care and Education (ECE) assessment, moving from traditional 2D "Contact Hour" (CH) compliance metrics to a 3D "Enhanced Contact Hour" (CH+) model. By integrating the Integrated Regulatory Framework (IRF) with Program Quality Indicators (PQI), we demonstrate a mathematical approach to differential monitoring where high quality can offset minor regulatory overages.

1 The 2D Foundation: Contact Hours (CH)

Traditional regulatory science relies on a flat, two-dimensional measurement of compliance. The Contact Hour (CH) formula tracks the relationship between capacity, time, and supervision.

1.1 Baseline Equation

The baseline density of a program is calculated as:

$$CH = \frac{NC \times TO}{TA} \tag{1}$$

Where:

- **NC:** Number of Children (Maximum Enrollment)
- **TO:** Time Open (Total Operational Hours)
- **TA:** Teaching Staff (Total Supervison)

2 The Safety Gates: Integrated Regulatory Framework (IRF)

Before program quality can be considered as a mitigating factor, a facility must pass the strict safety gates of the IRF.

- **Gate 1: High-Risk Violations (F-)**
  Must equal 0. Any high-risk violation triggers an immediate **System Lockdown**.
- **Gate 2: Low-Risk Violations (F+)**
  Must be ≤ 2. Exceeding this threshold reverts the program to full licensing review.

3 The 3D Elevation: Program Quality (Z-Axis)

Once safety is guaranteed, we introduce the Z-Axis: ten distinct Program Quality Indicators (PQIs). This transforms the 2D compliance square into a 3D volume.

3.1 Exponential Quality Volume

The theoretical volume of quality produced by a program is calculated exponentially:

$$Volume = 10 \times (PQI_{avg})^3 \tag{2}$$

4 Regulatory Outcomes: The Math in Action

The Offset Equation allows high quality to algebraically compensate for minor capacity overages or low-risk administrative errors.

4.1 The Offset Formula

$$Offset = ((PQI_{Sum}) - FC - F_{-} - |F_{+}| - |RWCH|) \times 2 \tag{3}$$

4.2 Performance Comparisons

Scenario	Compliance Status	Quality Level	Outcome
High Performance	100% (Zero Violations)	Top Tier (PQI 4)	**Pass (+78.20)**
Medium Performance	Minor Overages (-10)	Strong (PQI 3)	**Offset Pass (+52.00)**
Low Performance	High-Risk Violation	Low (PQI 2)	**Fail (N/A)**

Table 1: Comparison of Regulatory Outcomes across performance levels.

5 Conclusion

Dimensional Regulatory Science provides a data-driven path to differential monitoring. As shown in the models, programs that invest in high-quality classroom environments (PQI) earn mathematical flexibility, while those with safety risks remain under strict, uniform regulatory oversight.